AIR QUALITY REPORT

2008

Environmental Health Services
Jefferson County Department of Health
Birmingham, Alabama

Foreword

The Air Pollution Control Program of the Jefferson County Department of Health (JCDH) prepares this report annually. It analyzes the results of air monitoring stations located throughout Jefferson County for the purpose of measuring the outdoor concentrations of those pollutants for which the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency has established ambient air quality standards (with the exception of nitrogen dioxide, which is not necessary in an urban area the size of Birmingham):

- Carbon Monoxide
- Ozone
- Lead (not currently monitored by JCDH)
- Particulate Matter
- Sulfur Dioxide

This report includes general discussions of the background information, possible sources, and health effects of each pollutant, along with any occurrences of exceedances of air quality standards. Also included is a summary of compliance and enforcement activities. An effective field enforcement program contributes directly to improved air quality and pollutant level measurements within acceptable limits.

Table of Contents

Page

List of Graphs and Tables

Page

List of Acronyms and Units of Measure

ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management
APCE	Air Pollution Control Engineer
APCP	Air Pollution Control Program
AQI	air quality index
CMZ	community monitoring zone
CO	carbon monoxide
EHS	Environmental Health Services
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FCE	Full Compliance Evaluation
IMPROVE	Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments
JCDH	Jefferson County Department of Health
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAMS	National Air Monitoring Station
NESHAP	National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NO_x	oxides of nitrogen
O_3	ozone
Pb	lead
$PM_{2.5}$	particulate matter of size 2.5 microns or less in diameter
PM_{10}	particulate matter of size 10 microns or less in diameter
ppm	parts per million
RadNet	radiation network
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SLAMS	State and Local Air Monitoring Station
SMOPs	Synthetic Minor Operating Permits
SO_2	sulfur dioxide
SPM	Special Purpose Monitoring
$\mu g/m^3$	micrograms per cubic meter
VOCs	volatile organic compounds

Executive Summary

The uniform air quality index (AQI) was created for use as a standard measure of overall air quality. It is a national index that was designed to meet the needs of all citizens. The daily index report is based on the uniform pollutants' standards index structure that includes the pollutants for which primary short term National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) have been established: particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone (O_3) (see Table 2.1).

As shown below, the AQI of each pollutant is scaled on a range from 0 to 500 with 100 corresponding to the NAAQS level at which the pollutant is considered unhealthful.

Air Quality Index Levels of Health Concern	Numerical Value	Meaning
Good	0-50	Air quality is considered satisfactory, and air pollution poses little or no risk.
Moderate	51-100	Air quality is acceptable; however, for some pollutants there may be a moderate health concern for a very small number of people who are unusually sensitive to air pollution.
Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups	101-150	Air Quality Alert: Members of sensitive groups may experience health effects. The general public is not likely to be affected.
Unhealthy	151-200	Air Quality Alert: Everyone may begin to experience health effects; members of sensitive groups may experience more serious health effects.
Very Unhealthy	201-300	Air Quality Alert: Everyone may experience more serious health effects.
Hazardous	> 300	Air Quality Alert: The entire population is more likely to be affected.

The AQI is available daily, Monday through Friday, by dialing (205) 933-0583. The AQI report is viewable on the internet at http://www.jcdh.org. The following table was extracted from the Environmental Protection Agency's Air Quality System and summarizes the measurements of overall air quality in Jefferson County for 2008:

Air Quality Description	Number of Days
Good (1 - 50)	161
Moderate (51 - 100)	191
Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups (101 - 150)	14
Unhealthy (151 - 200)	0
Very Unhealthy (201 - 300)	0
Hazardous (> 300)	0
Total Number of Days	366

In 2008, there were 14 days the air quality description was in the AQI value range of 101 through 150, representing 3.8% of the time air quality was unhealthy for sensitive groups in Jefferson County, Alabama.

1.0 Introduction

The Jefferson County Department of Health operates an air pollution control program with its goal to ensure that citizens of Jefferson County have access to air which meets the health standards as established by the Environmental Protection Agency. A significant portion of air pollution control resources is devoted to monitoring pollutant levels in the ambient air (that portion of the atmosphere to which the general public has access). Also, information received from the monitoring network concerning pollutant levels is used as the basis for developing any control strategies necessary to ensure that health standards are attained and maintained.

2.0 Ambient Air Quality Standards

The Environmental Protection Agency has established two national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) – primary and secondary. The primary standards are designed to protect public health with an adequate margin of safety. The secondary standards are designed to protect public welfare-related values (such as property, materials, plants and animal life). The Air and Radiation Protection Division of the Jefferson County Department of Health Air Pollution Control Program utilizes the following standards established by the EPA:

Table 2.1
National Ambient Air Quality Standards

	Standard (mean levels)	
Pollutant and Time Period	**Primary**	**Secondary**
PM_{10} (inhalable particulates)		
(Micrograms per cubic meter)		
Annual mean level[a]	50	50
24-hour average[b]	150	150
$PM_{2.5}$ (inhalable particulates)		
(Micrograms per cubic meter)		
Annual mean level[a]	15	15
24-hour average[c]	35	35
Sulfur Dioxide		
(Parts per million)		
Annual mean level[d]	0.03	
24-hour average[e]	0.14	
3-hour average[e]		0.5
Nitrogen Dioxide		
(not currently monitored by JCDH)		
(Parts per million)		
Annual mean level	0.053	0.053
Carbon Monoxide		
(Parts per million)		
8-hour average[e]	9	None
1-hour average[e]	35	None
Ozone		
(Parts per million)		
1-hour average[f]	0.12	0.12
8-hour average[g]	0.075	0.075
Lead		
(not currently monitored by JCDH)		
(Micrograms per cubic meter)		
3-month mean level[h]	0.15	0.15

[a] A 3-year average of annual means determines compliance with the NAAQS.
[b] A 3-year average concentration, based on 99th percentile, determines compliance with the NAAQS.
[c] A 3-year average concentration, based on 98th percentile, determines compliance with the NAAQS.
[d] Annual standards are maximum permissible mean-level concentrations not to be exceeded in a calendar year.
[e] Short-term standards (24-hour and less) are not to be exceeded more than once a year.
[f] Not to be exceeded more than three times in three consecutive years. The 1-hour standard was revoked on June 15, 2004, for the Birmingham area since the area showed compliance with the standard.
[g] 3-year average of annual 4th highest daily maximum 8-hour concentrations; Standard effective May 27, 2008.
[h] Final rule signed October 15, 2008.

3.0 Monitoring Network Types

Data provided through a complex network of air monitoring stations located throughout Jefferson County determine the quality of ambient air in the county. The network consisted of 16 monitoring sites with 53 air monitors and 17 collocated monitors (see Table 3.1). The air pollutants monitored at these sites were ozone (O_3), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), particulates 2.5 microns and less in size ($PM_{2.5}$), and particulates 10 microns and less in size (PM_{10}). Nitrogen dioxide is not monitored because the county population is less than one million, and monitoring is therefore not required. Each air monitor was classified as one of the following: State and Local Air Monitoring Station (SLAMS) or Special Purpose Monitoring (SPM) based on the general monitoring objectives.

The objective of the SLAMS network is to collect data that provide an overview of the state's air quality used in the development of statewide control strategies.

The objective of the SPM network is to provide data for the development and refinement of local control strategies. The data also verify maintenance of air standards in areas not monitored by the SLAMS network.

The National Speciation Trends Network program's objectives are to measure current aerosol conditions in certain areas, to identify chemical species and emission sources responsible, and to document long-term trends for aerosol conditions. In 2001 three $PM_{2.5}$ speciation monitors were added to the network as part of the National Speciation Trends Network to assess the chemical composition of fine particles. However, the Providence monitor was shut down in July 2006 and leaves two speciation monitors.

The Radiation Network (RadNet) provides important information on background levels of radiation in the environment. The objective of the near-real time air monitoring component of the RadNet is to provide verified decision-making data to federal and state agency decision makers and the public in hours instead of days.

The Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) program's objectives are to to establish current visibility and aerosol conditions in mandatory Class I areas, to identify chemical species and emission sources responsible for existing man-made visibility impairment, to document long-term trends for assessing progress towards the national visibility goal, and with the enactment of the Regional Haze Rule, to provide regional haze monitoring representing all visibility-protected Federal Class I areas where practical.

Table 3.1
Jefferson County Air Monitoring Network
January 1, 2008 - December 31, 2008

		Monitoring Type	
Site Location	**Pollutants**	**SLAMS**	**SPM**
Bessemer	PM_{10}	1	0
Corner	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	1	5
Dolomite	PM_{10}	1	0
East Thomas	CO	1	0
Fairfield	CO, O_3, PM_{10}, SO_2	4	0
Hoover	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	1	5
Leeds, Elementary School	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	2	5
McAdory High School	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	1	5
North Birmingham, Sloss	CO, PM_{10}	2	1
North Birmingham, Southern Railroad	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	3	7
Northside School	PM_{10}	2	0
Pinson High School	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	3	3
Providence	O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}	1	5
Tarrant ABC Coke	PM_{10}	1	1
Tarrant, Elementary School	PM_{10}, O_3	2	0
Wylam	PM_{10}, $PM_{2.5}$	3	4

4.0 Description of Pollutants

4.1 Carbon Monoxide

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless and tasteless gas. It is emitted into the atmosphere by natural and man-made sources. Globally, total emissions of CO are greater than emissions of any other air pollutant, due to the widespread extent of low-level emissions from natural sources.

The major natural source of CO is the spontaneous oxidation of naturally occurring methane. Other natural sources include the oceans, plant growth and decay, terpene oxidation, and forest fires. Globally, natural sources account for nearly 90 percent of CO emissions.

The major man-made source of CO is the incomplete combustion of carbon-based fuels. Gasoline motor vehicles--primarily automobiles and light duty trucks--are the most common source. Other sources include industrial process losses, open burning and industrial or utility boilers.

CO poses a threat to human health because of its ability to react with hemoglobin that carries oxygen to cell tissue. Hemoglobin preferentially absorbs CO, thus reducing the amount of oxygen transported throughout the body. Most people will experience symptoms including dizziness and headaches when exposed to high levels of CO. Eliminating exposure causes blood to return to normal levels of oxygen.

4.2 Ozone

Ozone is a highly reactive oxidant gas with a pungent odor and a faint bluish color. Ozone is photochemically produced in the atmosphere when volatile organic compounds (VOCs) combine with oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and carbon monoxide (CO) in the presence of sunlight. In the lower atmosphere, ozone is the predominant component of photochemical smog and is most likely to reach high concentration levels on hot, dry, summer days when sunlight is intense and wind movement is low.

In urban areas, emissions of nitrogen oxides and VOCs lead to the formation of ozone in the lower atmosphere. Nitrogen oxides are primarily emitted from combustion sources such as motor vehicles and boilers. Primary sources of VOCs include motor vehicle exhaust, gasoline evaporation from storage facilities or tanker trucks, paint, and industrial use of solvents or coatings.

Ozone is a pulmonary irritant. Symptoms include irritation of the eyes, nose, throat and lungs as well as reduced lung function, asthma, stuffy nose, reduced resistance to colds and other infections. Ozone also damages plants, trees, rubber and fabrics.

4.3 Particulate Matter

Particulate matter consists of airborne particles ranging from about 0.001 to 500 micrometers in diameter. Particulate matter includes dust, soot and other tiny bits of materials (solids and aerosols) released into and moving around in the air. $PM_{2.5}$ consists of particles less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers in diameter, and PM_{10} consists of particles less than or equal to 10 micrometers in diameter. These are used as the basis for the ambient air quality standard. PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are both subsets of the total airborne particles in the air.

Particulate matter has many sources, including burning of diesel fuels by trucks, buses and other diesel engines; incineration of garbage; mixing and application of fertilizers and pesticides; road construction; vehicular tire wear and exhaust; operation of fireplaces and wood stoves; and industrial processes (such as steel making and mining operations).

Exposure to high concentrations of particulate pollution causes eye, nose and throat irritation, aggravation of chronic lung disease, and symptoms of heart and respiratory problems. Particulates are the main source of haze that reduces visibility.

4.4 Sulfur Dioxide

Sulfur dioxide is a colorless, nonflammable gas formed during combustion of sulfur-containing fuels such as coal and oil. Partly converted by photochemical and catalytic reactions in the atmosphere, sulfur dioxide becomes sulfur trioxide, sulfuric acid, and various sulfate particles that can also have adverse health and welfare effects.

Globally, emissions from human activities account for one-third of total emissions of sulfur compounds in the atmosphere. Of the natural emissions, most are hydrogen sulfide released from the decay of organic matter or sulfate particles released in sea spray. The combustion of sulfur-containing coal and oil in utility and industrial boilers is the major man-made source of sulfur dioxide emissions.

Sulfur dioxide is an irritant to the pulmonary system, primarily affecting the upper respiratory system. Damage to lungs occurs with deep inhalation of particles absorbing sulfur dioxide. Sulfur dioxide plays an important role in the production of acid rain (acid aerosols), which damages trees and lakes. Acid aerosols also erode stone used in buildings, statues, and monuments.

5.0 Monitoring Results

5.1 Carbon Monoxide

The carbon monoxide monitoring network consists of 3 monitors (3 SLAMS) strategically located within Jefferson County. Carbon monoxide was not responsible for the air quality index on any day in 2008. Refer to Graphs 5.5.1 and Tables 5.5.1 for 1-hour and 8-hour concentrations. There were no violations of the 1-hour or 8-hour NAAQS for the 2007-2008 reporting period at any of the monitoring sites.

5.2 Ozone

The ozone monitoring network consists of 9 monitors (9 SLAMS) strategically located throughout Jefferson County. All of the ozone monitors are operated from March 1 through October 31, except the North Birmingham monitor which operates year round. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) lowered the 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) from 0.085 ppm to 0.075 ppm, effective May 27, 2008. Ozone was the responsible pollutant 65 times, or 17.76% of the days for the air quality index in 2008. Graphs 5.5.2 and Tables 5.5.2 display ozone concentrations and show that all monitors in Jefferson and Shelby Counties averaged above the 0.075 ppm standard for the most recent three-year period.

Note that the Alabama Department of Environmental Management's (ADEM) Contingency Plan was triggered on June 30, 2006, because there was a three-year violation of the 8-hour ozone NAAQS at the Helena monitor for 2004-2006. Section 175A(d) of the Clean Air Act Amendments requires the inclusion of contingency provisions that would be implemented by the State to correct any future violation of the NAAQS in areas that had been redesignated as attainment of the NAAQS.

In 2005 the Jefferson and Shelby County area was designated "basic" nonattainment for 8-hour ozone. On November 16, 2005, ADEM submitted a request to the EPA to redesignate the Birmingham area to attainment of the 8-hour ozone NAAQS, based on acceptable data of 2003-2005 at all ozone monitors in the two-county nonattainment area (Jefferson and Shelby Counties). On May 12, 2006, the EPA redesignated the area to attainment for the 8-hour ozone NAAQS (*Federal Register*, May 12, 2006, Vol. 71, No. 92, pp. 27631-27636).

5.3 Particulate Matter

The particulate matter monitoring network consists of 20 PM_{10} monitors (10 SLAMS; 10 SPM), 19 $PM_{2.5}$ monitors (3 SLAMS; 16 SPM), and 1 RadNet monitor (1 SPM) strategically located throughout Jefferson County. PM_{10} was the responsible pollutant 1 time, or 0.27% of the days for the air quality index in 2008. $PM_{2.5}$ was the responsible pollutant 300 times, or 81.97% of the days for the air quality index in 2008. Graphs 5.5.3 (a and b) and Tables 5.5.3 (a and b) show that the annual and 24-hour PM_{10} design values at all monitors are compliant with the NAAQS for the 2006-2008 monitoring period. Graphs 5.5.3 (c and d) and Tables 5.5.3 (c and d) show that the North Birmingham and Wylam monitors are above the annual and 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS for the 2006-2008 monitoring period.

Refer to the following graphs and tables for concentrations of particulate matter:

- Graphs 5.5.3(a) – PM_{10} Design Values (Annual)
- Tables 5.5.3(a) – PM_{10} Design Values and Annual Averages
- Graphs 5.5.3(b) – PM_{10} 99^{th} Percentile Design Values (24-Hour)

- Tables 5.5.3(b) – PM_{10} 99th Percentile Design Values and 99th Percentiles (24-Hour)
- Graph 5.5.3(c) – $PM_{2.5}$ Design Values (Annual)
- Tables 5.5.3(c) – $PM_{2.5}$ Design Values and Annual Averages
- Graph 5.5.3(d) – $PM_{2.5}$ 98th Percentile Values (24-Hour)
- Tables 5.5.3(d) – $PM_{2.5}$ 98th Percentile Design Values and 98th Percentiles (24-Hour)

The EPA promulgated revised primary and secondary standards for $PM_{2.5}$ on July 18, 1997. The Jefferson County Department of Health (JCDH) began monitoring for $PM_{2.5}$ on January 1, 1999. In December 2004, EPA designated Jefferson County, Alabama, nonattainment of the annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS based on 2001-2003 data. The effective date of this designation was April 5, 2005.

In 2006 JCDH, in collaboration with other organizations (ADEM, EPA Region 4, Envair, and Industry), began to analyze the particulate matter problem with special focus upon the Community Monitoring Zone (CMZ) and its two monitors at Wylam and North Birmingham. A final report (dated July 14, 2006), "Particulate Matter Sources in Birmingham, Alabama," was prepared by the Envair Company for ADEM and JCDH. This report was considered preliminary work for the State Implentation Plan (SIP) that began in 2007. In December 2007, EPA denied a request to continue the CMZ since the 2006 $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS does not contain provisions for "grandfathering" the previous spatial averaging criteria for any area.

The Birmingham Area Particulate Study was initiated to address the attainment of the annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS. The SIP, which will provide information on how the Birmingham area will reach attainment status of the annual standard, will be submitted to EPA in early 2009.

5.4 Sulfur Dioxide

The sulfur dioxide (SO_2) monitoring network consists of 1 monitor (1 SLAMS). SO_2 was not responsible for the air quality index on any day in 2008. Refer to Graphs 5.5.4 and Table 5.5.4 for SO_2 concentrations, which remained well below NAAQS thresholds for the three averaging periods (annual, 24-hour, and 3-hour).

5.5 Graphs and Tables

Graphs 5.5.1

1-Hour and 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Design Values
(Ending at 2007–2008 Averaging Period)





*Note: Sloss is a Special Purpose Monitor and, therefore, not used for compliance purposes.

Tables 5.5.1

Carbon Monoxide Design Values and 2nd Maximum Values 1996-2008

Values measured in parts per million (ppm).

1-hour 2nd Max	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
East Thomas	7.1	7.7	5.4	6.7	5.6	6.2	5.5	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.1	3
Fairfield	11.2	8.5	8.7	9	8.5	12.5	7	7.4	8.6	6.1	6.2	3.8	6.1
Sloss	17.8	22.3	23.4	32.3	23.6	33.5	17.7	9.1	15	20.9	26.3	18.7	15.9

1-hour Design Values		1996-1997	1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008
East Thomas		7.7	7.7	6.7	6.7	6.2	6.2	5.5	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.1
Fairfield		11.2	8.7	9.0	9.0	12.5	12.5	7.4	8.6	8.6	6.2	6.2	6.1
Sloss		22.3	23.4	32.3	32.3	33.5	33.5	17.7	15.0	20.9	26.3	26.3	18.7

8-hour 2nd Max	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
East Thomas	5.7	6.1	5.4	5	4.5	4.8	4.1	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.3	2.3
Fairfield	4.9	5.9	4.4	4.4	3.7	6.3	3.7	3.1	3.9	2.5	3.1	2.0	2.2
Sloss	10.5	9.5	12.1	19.8	16.3	24.3	11.7	4.5	8.2	8.8	9.5	8.6	8.1

8-hour Design Values		1996-1997	1997-1998	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008
East Thomas		6.1	6.1	5.4	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.1	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.2	2.3
Fairfield		5.9	5.9	4.4	4.4	6.3	6.3	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.1	3.1	2.2
Sloss		10.5	12.1	19.8	19.8	24.3	24.3	11.7	8.2	8.8	9.5	9.5	8.6

Graphs 5.5.2

Ozone Design Values
(Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)



Note: EPA revised the level of the 8-hour Ozone standard to 0.075 ppm on March 27, 2008, effective May 27, 2008. The 2006-2008 design values were used to determine compliance with the revised standard.



Note: EPA revised the level of the 8-hour Ozone standard to 0.075 ppm on March 27, 2008, effective May 27, 2008. The 2006-2008 design values were used to determine compliance with the revised standard.

- An exceedance of the standard occurs when the 4th maximum value recorded during the year is greater than or equal to 0.075 ppm for the 2006-2008 design value and 0.085 ppm before the 2006-2008 3-year period. Compliance with the 8-hour standard is determined by averaging the 4th highest 8-hour ozone value at each site over a 3-year period.

Tables 5.5.2

8-Hour Ozone Design Values and 4th Highest 8-Hour Values (Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)

Ozone 8-hour 4th Max	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Fairfield	0.093	0.086	0.101	0.092	0.086	0.078	0.084	0.075	0.07	0.081	0.084	0.088	0.074
Tarrant	0.094	0.088	0.09	0.092	0.085	0.08	0.083	0.075	0.068	0.084	0.088	0.095	0.076
Pinson	0.089	0.078	0.091	0.096	0.089	0.08	0.078	0.081	0.068	0.072	0.078	0.081	0.079
McAdory	0.093	0.079	0.096	0.092	0.094	0.084	0.081	0.073	0.073	0.085	0.084	0.091	0.075
Hoover	0.095	0.083	0.094	0.097	0.092	0.086	0.086	0.077	0.077	0.085	0.089	0.093	0.079
Providence					0.088	0.086	0.088	0.07	0.07	0.079	0.081	0.087	0.074
Corner					0.087	0.081	0.083	0.077	0.068	0.077	0.081	0.09	0.077
N. Bham					0.092	0.079	0.082	0.068	0.07	0.079	0.086	0.093	0.078
Leeds						0.071	0.077	0.07	0.073	0.071	0.075	0.081	0.072
Helena	0.095	0.084	0.107	0.1	0.099	0.089	0.09	0.083	0.084	0.085	0.087	0.094	0.082

Ozone 8-hour Design Values			1996-1998	1997-1999	1998-2000	1999-2001	2000-2002	2001-2003	2002-2004	2003-2005	2004-2006	2005-2007	2006-2008
Fairfield			0.093	0.093	0.093	0.085	0.083	0.079	0.076	0.075	0.078	0.084	0.082
Tarrant			0.091	0.090	0.089	0.086	0.083	0.079	0.075	0.076	0.080	0.089	0.086
Pinson			0.086	0.088	0.092	0.088	0.082	0.080	0.0757	0.074	0.073	0.077	0.079
McAdory			0.089	0.089	0.094	0.090	0.086	0.079	0.0757	0.077	0.081	0.087	0.083
Hoover			0.091	0.091	0.094	0.092	0.088	0.083	0.080	0.080	0.084	0.089	0.087
Providence							0.087	0.081	0.076	0.073	0.077	0.082	0.081
Corner							0.084	0.080	0.076	0.074	0.075	0.083	0.083
N. Bham							0.084	0.076	0.073	0.072	0.078	0.086	0.086
Leeds								0.073	0.073	0.071	0.073	0.076	0.076
Helena			0.095	0.097	0.102	0.096	0.093	0.087	0.0857	0.084	0.085	0.089	0.088

Graphs 5.5.3(a)

PM_{10} Design Values (Annual)
(Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)





- Code for abbreviations: (Cont) Continuous monitor; (HV) Manual monitor, High Volume Method; (LV) Manual monitor, Low Volume Method.
- PM_{10} collected at ambient conditions (i.e., low volume method) is not used for compliance purposes.
- Sloss and ABC are Special Purpose Monitors and, therefore, not used for compliance purposes.

Tables 5.5.3(a)

PM_{10} Design Values and Annual Averages (Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)

Annual Averages	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Bessemer (HV)	24.5	25.6	27.2	24.7	39.6	28.8	23.6	23.6	25.4	27.7	29.1	30.7	23.2
Northside (HV)	25.6	29.6	28.2	29.1	42.2	31.3	24.4	23.9	25.0	25.7	26.3	26.8	22.4
Fairfield (HV)	26.0	29.0	29.0	28.7	40.7	28.7	23.4	23.3	23.9	26.9	26.5	28.0	22.3
Dolomite (HV)	33.0	33.0	29.0	23.8	37.2	26.5	20.7	21.0	22.1	26.4	30.6	25.9	20.1
Leeds (HV)	23.9	23.2	25.3	25.0	37.6	26.0	23.0	24.5	23.6	25.7	22.1	26.3	22.7
Tarrant (HV)	24.7	25.1	25.0	25.8	39.8	29.1	24.5	24.1	25.9	28.4	26.8	31.2	23.1
N. Bham (Cont)	33.2	34.7	35.8	39.2	39.7	37.5	34.1	34.8	32.8	34.3	31.6	32.7	27.8
Wylam (Cont)	29.7	30.1	32.8	31.0	31.2	28.5	27.0	26.2	26.8	26.0	26.4	25.9	22.5
Sloss (Cont)				49.2	N/A	N/A	45.9	57.1	51.8	49.3	39.3	56.3	41.0
ABC (Cont)				38.9	N/A	N/A	31.5	N/A	N/A	36.4	40.1	37.7	31.3
N. Bham (LV)								35.7	37.3	39.1	34.9	38.6	30.6
Wylam (LV)								26.2	24.8	28.4	28.9	31.3	25.0
Hoover (LV)								21.3	19.9	21.5	21.1	22.2	17.7
McAdory (LV)								23.1	23.0	26.6	29.3	29.4	21.0
Pinson (LV)								20.1	19.0	21.7	21.0	25.5	23.8
Corner (LV)								21.0	19.0	22.6	21.4	23.2	18.5
Providence (LV)								18.1	17.2	20.4	19.2	20.3	16.5
Leeds (LV)								23.4	24.1	25.7	23.3	27.3	21.8

Design Values			1996-1998	1997-1999	1998-2000	1999-2001	2000-2002	2001-2003	2002-2004	2003-2005	2004-2006	2005-2007	2006-2008
Bessemer (HV)			31	31	31	25	24	24	25	26	27	29	28
Northside (HV)			28	29	33	34	33	27	24	25	26	26	25
Fairfield (HV)			28	29	33	33	31	25	24	25	26	27	26
Dolomite (HV)			32	29	30	29	28	23	21	23	26	28	26
Leeds (HV)			24	25	29	30	29	25	24	25	24	25	24
Tarrant (HV)			25	25	30	32	31	26	25	26	27	29	27
N. Bham (Cont)			35	37	38	39	37	35	34	34	33	33	31
Wylam (Cont)			31	31	32	30	29	27	27	26	26	26	35
Sloss (Cont)									52	53	47	48	25
ABC (Cont)												38	28
N. Bham (LV)										37	37	38	46
Wylam (LV)										26	27	30	36
Hoover (LV)										21	21	22	20
McAdory (LV)										24	26	28	27
Pinson (LV)										20	21	23	23
Corner (LV)										21	21	22	21
Providence (LV)										19	19	20	19
Leeds (LV)										24	24	25	24

Graphs 5.5.3(b)

PM_{10} 99th Percentile Design Values (24-Hour)
(Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)





- Code for abbreviations: (Cont) Continuous monitor; (HV) Manual monitor, High Volume Method; (LV) Manual monitor, Low Volume Method.
- Compliance with the NAAQS is determined by a 3-year average of the 99th percentile values which is rounded to the nearest 10 ug/m^3 (e.g., 154 ug/m^3 rounds to 150 ug/m^3 which is in compliance).
- PM_{10} collected at ambient conditions (i.e., low volume method) is not used for compliance purposes.
- Sloss and ABC are Special Purpose Monitors and, therefore, are not used for compliance purposes.

Tables 5.5.3(b)

PM_{10} 99th Percentile Design Values (24-Hour) and 99th Percentiles (Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)

99th Percentile	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Bessemer (HV)	53	70	68	62	146	91	55	50	55	69	72	61	49
Northside (HV)	56	111	79	99	147	105	66	49	63	68	64	58	43
Fairfield (HV)	67	64	77	70	112	73	57	50	49	74	71	61	49
Dolomite (HV)	125	104	91	59	138	95	48	51	49	53	101	56	45
Leeds (HV)	54	54	42	65	128	93	69	57	58	56	58	50	45
Tarrant (HV)	58	67	58	69	157	95	59	62	66	78	68	64	41
N. Bham (Cont)	96	104	98	108	136	115	102	114	103	111	84	101	80
Wylam (Cont)	75	93	95	83	92	76	63	60	63	68	68	64	56
Sloss (Cont)				123	N/A	N/A	144	151	154	120	143	167	127
ABC (Cont)				134	N/A	N/A	111	N/A	N/A	132	148	142	113
N. Bham (LV)								115	109	111	91	95	79
Wylam (LV)								57	65	62	73	81	74
Hoover (LV)								48	42	61	64	46	38
McAdory (LV)								62	62	93	242	58	41
Pinson (LV)								48	45	53	61	81	65
Corner (LV)								46	48	63	66	48	42
Providence (LV)								46	44	56	66	45	39
Leeds (LV)								72	72	54	62	58	45

99th Percentile Design Values			1996 - 1998	1997 - 1999	1998 - 2000	1999 - 2001	2000 - 2002	2001 - 2003	2002 - 2004	2003 - 2005	2004 - 2006	2005 - 2007	2006 - 2008
Bessemer (HV)			64	67	92	100	97	65	53	58	65	67	65
Northside (HV)			82	96	108	117	106	73	59	60	65	63	58
Fairfield (HV)			69	70	86	85	81	60	52	58	65	69	62
Dolomite (HV)			107	85	96	97	94	65	49	51	68	70	69
Leeds (HV)			50	54	78	95	97	73	61	57	57	55	66
Tarrant (HV)			61	65	95	107	104	72	62	69	71	70	58
N. Bham (Cont)			99	103	114	120	118	110	106	109	99	99	88
Wylam (Cont)			88	90	90	84	77	66	62	64	66	67	88
Sloss (Cont)									150	142	139	143	63
ABC (Cont)												141	76
N. Bham (LV)										112	104	99	146
Wylam (LV)										61	67	72	134
Hoover (LV)										50	56	57	53
McAdory (LV)										72	132	131	114
Pinson (LV)										49	53	65	69
Corner (LV)										52	59	59	58
Providence (LV)										49	55	56	52
Leeds (LV)										66	63	58	66

Graph 5.5.3(c)

$PM_{2.5}$ Design Values (Annual)
(Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)



Note: The Community Monitoring Zone (CMZ) is a spatial average of North Birmingham & Wylam. Averging for design purposes at the CMZ was discontinued in December 2007.

Tables 5.5.3(c)

Annual Averages	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
N.Bham	23.41	22.31	19.09	17.46	17.38	17.66	19.63	18.39	18.00	15.52
Wylam	21.30	20.74	17.93	16.59	15.63	15.86	17.94	18.05	16.38	14.40
CMZ	22.36	21.53	18.51	17.03	16.51	16.76	18.79	18.22		
McAdory	18.36	16.91	14.97	15.02	14.10	14.57	16.32	15.58	14.91	12.22
Hoover	18.68	18.52	15.60	14.42	14.12	14.39	15.72	15.30	14.35	12.10
Pinson	19.09	16.52	14.31	13.35	13.47	13.52	15.21	14.31	14.28	11.91
Corner		16.78	14.67	13.33	13.53	13.66	15.43	14.48	13.86	11.48
Providence		16.66	13.34	12.33	12.21	12.43	14.52	13.44	13.27	10.83
Leeds						14.74	16.73	15.32	15.73	13.18

Design Values			1999-2001	2000-2002	2001-2003	2002-2004	2003-2005	2004-2006	2005-2007	2006-2008
N.Bham			21.6	19.6	18.0	17.5	18.2	18.6	18.7	17.3
Wylam			20.0	18.4	16.7	16.0	16.5	17.3	17.5	16.3
CMZ			20.8	19.0	17.3	16.8	17.4	17.9		
McAdory			16.7	15.6	14.7	14.6	15.0	15.5	15.6	14.2
Hoover			17.6	16.2	14.7	14.3	14.7	15.1	15.1	13.9
Pinson			16.6	14.7	13.7	13.4	14.1	14.3	14.6	13.5
Corner				14.9	13.8	13.5	14.2	14.5	14.6	13.3
Providence				14.1	12.6	12.3	13.1	13.5	13.7	12.5
Leeds							15.7	15.6	15.9	14.7

Graph 5.5.3(d)

$PM_{2.5}$ 98th Percentile Values (24-Hour)
(Ending at 2006–2008 Averaging Period)



Note: EPA revised the level of the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ standard to 35 µg/m^3 on October 7, 2006, effective December 18, 2006. The 2004-2006 design values were used to determine compliance with the revised standard.

Tables 5.5.3(d)

98th Percentiles	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
N.Bham	52.7	52.5	42.8	37.6	39.1	42.3	50.3	39.6	42.8	33.5
Wylam	46.9	50.4	42.7	35.8	35.3	37.8	44.5	40.3	38.1	33.5
McAdory	41.1	38.1	32.9	35.7	33.7	37.3	35.5	33.9	30.9	25.8
Hoover	39.2	39.9	32.2	34.4	29.9	36.1	34.3	31.9	29.8	25.9
Pinson	39.1	40.3	28.7	32.7	26.7	29.3	37.2	33.2	34.2	26.4
Corner		39.3	32.3	33.3	28.6	34.5	41.8	33.4	32.5	30.0
Providence		38.5	29.7	34.2	29.5	32.4	39.8	32.7	31.4	27.3
Leeds						31.8	37.6	32.5	33.0	24.6

98th Percentile Design Values			1999-2001	2000-2002	2001-2003	2002-2004	2003-2005	2004-2006	2005-2007	2006-2008
N.Bham			49	44	40	40	44	44	44	39
Wylam			47	43	38	36	39	41	41	37
McAdory			37	36	34	36	36	36	33	30
Hoover			37	36	32	33	33	34	32	29
Pinson			36	34	29	30	31	33	35	31
Corner				35	31	32	35	37	36	32
Providence				34	31	32	34	35	35	31
Leeds							35	34	34	30

Graphs 5.5.4

Sulfur Dioxide – Annual Means and 24-Hour / 3-Hour Averages (Ending at 2008 Averaging Period)







Table 5.5.4

Sulfur Dioxide	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Mean	0.003	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
24-hour Averages 2nd Max	0.015	0.018	0.032	0.057	0.057	0.019	0.015	0.049	0.012	0.014	0.017	0.010	0.015
3-hour Averages 2nd Max	0.041	0.065	0.061	0.164	0.154	0.046	0.038	0.138	0.037	0.048	0.049	0.031	0.050

6.0 Exceedances of the Ambient Air Quality Standards

An exceedance of an ambient standard is the occurrence of a pollutant concentration that is greater than the numerical value of the standard for a period of time equal to the averaging time specified by the standard (see Table 2.1). A violation of an ambient standard, at a single monitor, is the occurrence of more exceedances of the numerical value of the standard than are allowed within a specified period of time.

An excludable exceedance is one that occurred as a result of an unusual natural or man-made event such as a severe drought, wildfire, tornado, structural fire, or temporary construction project near a monitor. The question of whether or not an exceedance will be excluded arises in determining the attainment status of an area. It is not a question of whether or not the exceedance occurred, but, rather, of what it represents. An exceedance can be excluded only after consultation with the Alabama Department of Environmental Management and the Environmental Protecion Agency (EPA). Historically, there have been three instances related to this issue:

> (1) EPA granted exclusion of Jefferson County's ozone and particulate matter data for May 13, 14, 18, and 19 in 1998 because of Central-American forest fires which affected a large portion of the eastern United States.
>
> (2) The Jefferson County Department of Health (JCDH) requested that EPA exclude late season exceedances of the 8-hour ozone and particulate matter (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) on October 23, 2000, and October 25, 2000. However, EPA failed to respond to both written requests and in-person requests. JCDH, therefore, included these data as valid.
>
> (3) EPA granted exclusion of Jefferson County's $PM_{2.5}$ data for May 15 (except at Pinson), 22-23, 26-30 (except at Wylam on May 29), and June 1-2, in 2007 because of the southeast Georgia and northeast Flordia wildfires that affected a large portion of the southeast United States.

As cited in Section 5, the Birmingham area is currently designated as non-attainment of the annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS. Tables 5.5.3 (d) show that the North Birmingham and Wylam sites have violations of the $PM_{2.5}$ 24-hr NAAQS for the 2006-2008 averaging period.

The exceedances of the 8-hour ozone NAAQS for the three-year period (2005-2007) will be considered in the State's Contingency Plan to bring the area back into compliance with the NAAQS. However, violations of the ozone 8-hour NAAQS for the recent 3-year averaging periods are also noted in Graphs 5.5.2 and Tables 5.5.2.

7.0 Compliance and Enforcement Activities

7.1 Industrial Facilities

Industrial air pollution sources are subject to compliance monitoring by Environmental Health Specialists (EHS) and Air Pollution Control Engineers (APCE). Synthetic Minor air pollution sources receive a Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) by the assigned EHS or APCE at least once every five years. Major air pollution sources receive an FCE biennially by an engineer. An FCE includes a thorough review of relevant records and an onsite inspection of the facility. The APCE or EHS prepares a comprehensive inspection report that is stored in the facility file maintained by the Air Pollution Control Progam (APCP). Emissions from regulated industrial sources are calculated annually. During 2008 the APCP performed 242 visible emission evaluations, conducted 297 inspections, investigated 138 complaints, and issued 4 Notices of Violation.

7.2 Open Burning

The APCP regulates open burning due to smoke nuisance, as well as particulate and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emissions. Generally, open burning is prohibited except under specific circumstances allowed by the Department. All open burning for construction and right-of-way clearing is prohibited during the months of May through October. The issuing of open burning authorizations for land clearing operations requires a site evaluation by an EHS to determine if the material and circumstances meet regulation requirements, and to set distance restrictions for the burning site. During 2008 the APCP issued 45 open burning authorizations.

The APCP also investigates complaints regarding open burning. An Advisory Notice or Official Notice of Violation is issued if the investigation determines a violation of the regulations. During 2008 the APCP investigated 106 open burning complaints and wrote 19 Notices of Violation.

7.3 Other Programs

7.3.1 Gasoline Dispensing Facilities and Tanker Trucks

The APCP regulates gasoline-dispensing facilities and tanker trucks due to emissions of VOCs. Gasoline dispensing facilities must have and use Stage I Vapor Balance equipment while filling storage tanks. Gasoline tanker trucks are required to recover gasoline vapors while filling or emptying the truck vessels. Gasoline tanker trucks must certify vapor tightness annually and display an Air Sticker issued by the APCP. Regulatory activities for this segment of the gasoline marketing industry are performed by the Field Services Section staff. During 2008 the APCP issued 678 Air Stickers.

7.3.2 Asbestos Abatement

The APCP enforces the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) for asbestos during renovation and demolition operations. The Environmental Health Program Supervisor for Field Services serves as the Asbestos Abatement Coordinator for Jefferson County and is responsible for the regulatory activities in this program area. During 2008 there were 264 regulated asbestos abatement or demolition notifications received and reviewed, of which 177 were subject to Federal asbestos standards, 111 inspections conducted, 4 complaints investigated, and 3 Notices of Violation issued.

7.3.3 Indoor Air Quality

The APCP acts as an information and referral resource regarding indoor air quality problems. Indoor air quality complaints in public buildings are investigated to a limited degree. Owners are often referred to other resources for more complex investigations or solutions. Individuals complaining about residential indoor air quality problems are also referred to other resources for additional information. The APCP has no regulations or enforcement policies regarding indoor air quality at this time. Complainants may be referred to other agencies like the Occupational Health and Safety Administration, if appropriate. During 2008 the ARPD investigated 28 indoor air complaints.

7.3.4 Dry Cleaners

During 2008 there were 22 inspections of dry cleaning facilities in Jefferson County that are subject to NESHAPs (40 CFR 63, Subpart M).

8.0 Air Pollution Source Permitting

Permit applications must be submitted prior to the construction of new sources that have the potential to emit air pollutants and before the modification of existing air pollution sources. The type of emission source determines the information required in the application. The Engineering Section evaluates the degree of air pollution control required for all emission points within each industrial/commercial facility. Field Services Section staff are responsible for processing all permit applications for gasoline tanker trucks and dispensing facilities. Using established emission factors to ensure allowable air emission standards, calculations are made to determine the estimated emissions for the proposed source. During 2008, air permits were issued for 83 new, renewal, or modified sources. The APCP continues to issue Title V Major Source Operating Permits under Chapter 18 of *The Jefferson County Board of Health Air Pollution Control Rules and Regulations*. Qualified sources may apply for and receive a Synthetic Minor Operating Permit under Chapter 17 of the Regulations. Minor sources receive air permits under Chapter 2 of the Regulations.

The following table is a summary of source permitting for 2008.

Table 8.1 Sources and Number of Permits Issued in 2008

Source Type	Number of Permits Issued
Industrial/Commercial	37
Gasoline Tanker Trucks	46
Total	**83**

Type of Permit Issued	Number of Permits Issued
Title V Major	4
Synthetic Minor	14
Minor	19
Total	**37**

Jefferson County Department of Health
Environmental Health Services
Air and Radiation Protection Division
1400 Sixth Avenue South
Birmingham, Alabama 35233
http://www.jcdh.org